Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/799,414	HOKE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Evelyn A. Lester	2873
All Participants:	Status of Application: _	
(1) Evelyn A. Lester.	(3)	
(2) jason Webb (reg.no. 55,714).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 14 August 2006	Time: approx. 1830 hrs.	<u>EST</u> .
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-7,9-21		
Prior art documents discussed: Smith (U.S. Pat. 4991005); Harada et al (U.S. Pat. 5551021); F	Hill (U.S. Pat. 5970471); Hatanaka	a (U.S. Pat. 7012636)
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand. 	he examiner will provide a wri	itten summary of the substance ne interview, since the interview
		•
- CO		
CONTINTO 8-16-06	NIA	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The prior art listed above was noted to the Applicant's representative. The Examiner suggested to incorporate the subject matter of claim 4 into independent claim 1, and the subject matter of claim 16 into independent claim 12, to place the application in better condition for allowance. Also, changes to the wording of claims 1 and 12 was needed to avoid a 112, 2nd paragraph rejection. Please note the Examiner's Amendment for actual changes agreed upon and made.

Ed duty 8-16-06